

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9599	
09/829,760	04/10/2001	David O. Chenault	RUN600.00/00043A		
7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER		
Gable & Gotwals			PASCHALL, MARK H		
Attn: Paul H. Johnson 100 West Fifth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10th Floor Tulsa, OK 74	103		3742	9	
·			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					4-		
,	-	Application No	· ·	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/829,760		CHENAULT, DAV	/ID O.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Mark H Pascha		3742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, hown. a reply within the statutory meriod will apply and will expirestatute, cause the application.	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) day: e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on j	10 February 2004.					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-39 is/are pending in the same claim(s) is/are with claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-39 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	hdrawn from conside					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) of the drawing(s) be help orrection is required if the second contract of th	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been red ments have been red priority documents I ureau (PCT Rule 17.	ceived. ceived in Applicati have been receive .2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) Ce of References Cited (PTO-892) Ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	8) :B/08) 5) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schow et al. Note that teaching m, evaluatijng and testing are set froth in Schow et al and it is obvious that these controls ensure the quality of a workman performing the task. Note that the industry rules would have to be followed since Schow mentions working on US Naval vessels. Welding is taught and it would be obvious to include thermoplastic pipe in ;the types of welding. tNote that during the testing, parameters are measured. As per claim 16 note the use of barcodes on conventional welding fittings. Recording the location of the training as set forth in the claims is obvious.

Claims 1-5 and 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings atHobart Welding School. Applicant should note that Hobart Welding School is very well known in the industry and the student there would be tested

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schow et al. Note that teaching m, evaluating and testing are set froth in Schow et al and it is obvious that these controls ensure the quality of a workman performing the task. Note that the industry rules would have to be followed since Schow mentions working on US Naval vessels. Welding is taught and it would be obvious to include thermoplastic pipe in ;the types of welding. Note that during the testing, parameters are measured. As per claim 16 notes the use of barcodes on conventional welding fittings. Recording the location of the training as set forth in the claims is obvious.

Claims 1-5 and 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings at Hobart Welding School. Applicant should note that Hobart Welding School is very well known in the industry and the student there would be tested

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

Page 3

tasks are taught rigorously there. It is obvious that the qualifications of a

workman(student) would be ensured by such teachings at Hobart.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 13-39 have been

trained and evaluated., with hands on testing. Industry standards for these covered

considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-

1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M HVa Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742

mp